PUBLISHED BY INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS PUBLISHING FOR SISSA

JHEP

RECEIVED: March 6, 2008 ACCEPTED: April 1, 2008 PUBLISHED: April 14, 2008

Yangians in deformed super Yang-Mills theories

Jay N. Ihry

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255, U.S.A. E-mail: jihry@physics.unc.edu

ABSTRACT: We discuss the integrability structure of deformed, four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theories using Yangians. We employ a recent procedure by Beisert and Roiban that generalizes the beta deformation of Lunin and Maldacena to produce $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal gauge theories, which have the superalgebra $\mathrm{SU}(2,2|1)\times\mathrm{U}(1)^2$. The deformed theories, including those with the more general twist, were shown to have retained their integrable structure. Here we examine the Yangian algebra of these deformed theories. In a five field subsector, we compute the two cases of $\mathrm{SU}(2)\times\mathrm{U}(1)^3$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2|1)\times\mathrm{U}(1)^2$ as residual symmetries of $\mathrm{SU}(2,2|1)\times\mathrm{U}(1)^2$. We compute a twisted coproduct for these theories, and show that only for the residual symmetry do we retain the standard coproduct. The twisted coproduct thus provides a method for symmetry breaking. However, the full Yangian structure of $\mathrm{SU}(2|3)$ is manifest in our subsector, albeit with twisted coproducts, and provides for the integrability of the theory.

KEYWORDS: Duality in Gauge Field Theories, AdS-CFT Correspondence, Extended Supersymmetry, Integrable Field Theories.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The $SU(2 3)$ sector	2
3.	The hamiltonian	3
4.	The deformed hamiltonian	5
	4.1 Deformed two-site hamiltonian	6
	4.2 Case 1: $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3$	6
	4.3 Case 2: $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = -\gamma_3$	7
5.	Twisted coproducts	7
6.	Conclusion	11
А.	Single index basis	12
в.	Double index basis	13

1. Introduction

With the advent of the of AdS/CFT correspondence, there has been much interest in conformal field theories. In the mid 1990's $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal field theories were constructed by exactly marginal deformations [1]. These $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories have the same particle content as the original $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory. The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory was broken to a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal theory by the addition of the classical marginal deformation with the superpotential

$$\mathcal{W} = ih \operatorname{Tr} \left(e^{i\pi\beta} \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_3 - e^{-i\pi\beta} \Phi_1 \Phi_3 \Phi_2 \right) + \frac{ih'}{3} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\Phi_1^3 + \Phi_2^3 + \Phi_3^3 \right).$$
(1.1)

The condition for an exact marginal deformation, is that the parameters must obey

$$|h|^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(q - \bar{q}\right)^2\right) + |h'|^2 \frac{N^2 - 4}{2N^2} = g^2, \tag{1.2}$$

where h, h', and $q = e^{i\pi\beta}$ are the deformation parameters and g is the Yang-Mills coupling constant. The large N limit simplifies this condition, and if we set h' = 0 as is commonly done, then h = g is the requirement for a marginal deformation. We will consider real β .

Lunin and Maldacena incorporated this deformation via a star product [2] to find an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal Yang-Mills theory with global U(1) symmetries. They found the gravity dual of this theory through the AdS/CFT correspondence. A three-parameter family of parameters replacing β was given [3]. Using Bethe ansatz techniques, it was found in [4]–[6] that the one-loop corrections in the large N limit of these deformed theories still provided an integrable spin chain Hamiltonian. More general deformed integrable theories were provided in [7]. These correspond to multi-parameter, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal theories with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{g^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \left(D^{\mu} \bar{\Phi}^i \right) (D_{\mu} \Phi_i) - \frac{1}{2} [\Phi_i, \Phi_j]_{C_{ij}} [\bar{\Phi}^i, \bar{\Phi}^j]_{C_{ij}} + \frac{1}{4} [\Phi_i, \bar{\Phi}^i] [\Phi_j, \bar{\Phi}^j] \quad (1.3)$$
$$\lambda_A \sigma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \bar{\lambda}^A - i([\lambda_4, \lambda_i]_{B_{4i}} \bar{\Phi}^i + [\bar{\lambda}^4, \bar{\lambda}^i]_{B_{4i}} \Phi_i) + \frac{i}{2} (\epsilon^{ijk} [\lambda_i, \lambda_j]_{B_{ij}} \Phi_k + \epsilon_{ijk} [\bar{\lambda}^i, \bar{\lambda}^j]_{B_{ij}} \bar{\Phi}^k) \right),$$

where B_{ij} and C_{ij} are related, and describe the deformations. The gauge group is SU(N). For these theories, amplitudes and the finiteness properties have been calculated [8]–[16]. Some further connections between integrability and deformed theories have been discussed in [17]–[21].

In this paper, we compute the Yangian structure for such deformed theories. The ordinary symmetries are the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal algebra SU(2,2|1) with two global U(1) symmetries. Following [7, 22, 23], we will consider the one-loop dilatation operator, which we call the Hamiltonian, in a subsector of the full deformed theory. This subsector has five one-particle states in the fundamental representation of SU(2|3). In section 2 we discuss the algebraic structure of SU(2|3) and its Yangian extension. In section 3 we give the two-site Hamiltonian as a quadratic Casimir and discuss the SU(2|3) Yangian symmetry of the undeformed theory [24]-[28]. In section 4 we give the Hamiltonian for the deformed theory in this five field subsector, in the planar limit, and compute the Yangian generators for various cases including the Lunin-Maldacena deformation. In section 5, we compute the twisted coproducts associated with multiparameter deformations [29, 30]. This structure was hinted at in [7]. We show the residual symmetry of the deformed theory continues to use the standard coproduct while the remaining structure does not. We illustrate this in two examples finding residual $SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ and $SU(2|1) \times U(1)^2$ symmetry, and discuss how the twisted coproduct is responsible for the smaller symmetry group for the deformed conformal gauge field theory.

2. The SU(2|3) sector

We review the fields of this closed subsector and discuss its symmetry algebra. The five fields include two complex fermions and three complex bosons, $\Phi_I = \{\psi_1, \psi_2; \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3\}$. We can express these fields as single particle states,

$$\phi_a(i)|0\rangle = c_a^{\dagger}(i)c_4^{\dagger}(i)|0\rangle, \quad \psi_\alpha(j)|0\rangle = a_\alpha^{\dagger}(j)c_4^{\dagger}(j)|0\rangle, \tag{2.1}$$

where $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq 3$ unless otherwise stated. Site indices i, j run over the length of the chain, $1 \leq i, j \leq L$. The oscillator (field), $c_4^{\dagger}(i)$, is a remnant of the full PSU(2,2|4) theory [22] and is included to ensure the fermionic and bosonic properties of this oscillator representation. The oscillator commutation relations are

$$[a^{\alpha}(i), a^{\dagger}_{\beta}(j)] = \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \delta^{i}_{j}, \quad \{c^{a}(i), c^{\dagger}_{b}(j)\} = \delta^{a}_{b} \delta^{i}_{j}.$$

$$(2.2)$$

The twenty-four generators of the SU(2|3) superalgebra have the explicit representation, at tree level (g = 0),

$$R^{a}{}_{b} = c^{\dagger}_{b}c^{a} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^{a}_{b}c^{\dagger}_{c}c^{c}, \qquad L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = a^{\dagger}_{\beta}a^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}a^{\dagger}_{\gamma}a^{\gamma}, \qquad (2.3)$$
$$D = c^{\dagger}_{c}c^{c} + \frac{3}{2}a^{\dagger}_{\gamma}a^{\gamma}, \qquad S^{\gamma}{}_{c} = c^{\dagger}_{c}a^{\gamma}, \qquad Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} = a^{\dagger}_{\gamma}c^{c}.$$

The SU(2|3) algebra. A single index basis for the symmetry generators of the ordinary SU(2|3) algebra is given in appendix A. The symmetry generators for SU(3) and SU(2) carry indices $\{1, \ldots, 8\}$ and $\{9, 10, 11\}$, respectively; the dilation generator has index 12, and the odd generators are labeled by $\{13, \ldots, 24\}$. A detailed analysis of the SU(2|3) algebra and resulting spin chain can be found in [22, 23]. The symmetry generators close the algebra

$$[J^{A}, J^{B}] = f^{AB}{}_{C}J^{C} = f^{ABD}g_{DC}J^{C}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where the structure constants f^{ABC} and the metric g_{AB} of SU(2|3) can also be found in appendix A. This basis allows for a simple presentation of the Yangian defining relations.

The SU(2|3) Yangian algebra. An infinite-dimensional extension of the SU(2|3) algebra, called the Yangian [32, 31], has a tree level representation in terms of the ordinary generators

$$Q_0^A = -f^A{}_{CB} \sum_{i < j} J_0^B(i) J_0^C(j).$$
(2.5)

This representation takes into account the superalgebra properties of the Lie algebra [24]. The super Yangian algebra defining relations are

$$\left[J^A, J^B\right] = f^{AB}{}_C J^C, \qquad (2.6)$$

$$\left[J^A, Q^B\right] = f^{AB}{}_C Q^C, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$\left[Q^{[A}, \left[Q^{B}, J^{C}\right]\right\}\right] = \alpha f^{AG}{}_{D} f^{BH}{}_{E} f^{CK}{}_{F} f_{GHK} J^{\{D} J^{E} J^{F\}}.$$
(2.8)

The last is the Serre relation which holds because the generators J^A are in a certain representation. The constant α depends on the choice of basis. Here $J^{\{D\}}J^EJ^{F\}}$ is the totally symmetric product, with an additional minus sign for the exchange of two odd generators.

3. The hamiltonian

A useful feature of this sector is the relationship between the quadratic Casimir and the two-site Hamiltonian. A Hamiltonian, of generic length L, was found in [22]¹. The two-site

¹Length changing, for the SU(2|3) sector, is required for higher than one-loop order, but here we consider only one-loop, $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$.

Hamiltonian is

$$H_{12} = \left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)c_{b}^{\dagger}(2) - c_{b}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c^{b}(2)c^{a}(1) + \left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2) + a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)a^{\alpha}(2)c^{a}(1) \\ + \left(a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2) + c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c^{a}(2)a^{\alpha}(1) + \left(a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) + a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2)\right)a^{\beta}(2)a^{\alpha}(1).$$

$$(3.1)$$

One can explicitly check, the Hamiltonian above has two eigenstates with eigenvalues 0 and 2. These correspond to symmetric and antisymmetric two-particle states and are discussed below.

The two-site quadratic Casimir is the operator, $g_{AB}J^AJ^B = g_{AB}(J(1)^A + J^A(2))(J^B(1) + J^B(2))$. And can be explicitly shown,

$$g_{AB}J^{A}J^{B} = \frac{1}{3}D^{2} + \frac{1}{2}L^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}L^{\delta}{}_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}R^{c}{}_{d}R^{d}{}_{c} - \frac{1}{2}\left[Q^{c}{}_{\gamma}, S^{\gamma}{}_{c}\right].$$
(3.2)

The single site quadratic Casimir acting on any two-particle state $|\eta\rangle$, is zero. So, $g_{AB}J^A(1)J^B(1)|\eta\rangle = g_{AB}J^A(2)J^B(2)|\eta\rangle = 0$ and the cross term piece is $2g_{AB}J^A(1)J^B(2) = H_{12}$, where H_{12} is given in (3.1). So the two-site Hamiltonian can be identified with the quadratic Casimir

$$H_{12}|\eta\rangle = g_{AB}[J^A(1) + J^A(2)][J^B(1) + J^B(2)]|\eta\rangle, \qquad (3.3)$$

when acting the states.

For calculations with Yangians it is useful to use eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. The two-particle eigenstates are symmetric or antisymmetric in the site indices. We define them as, $|\Phi_I \Phi_J\rangle_{\pm} = |\Phi_I(1)\Phi_J(2)\rangle_{\pm} |\Phi_I(2)\Phi_J(1)\rangle$. The explicit representation of the symmetric states is,

$$\begin{aligned} |ab\rangle_{+} &= -\left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)c_{b}^{\dagger}(2) + c_{b}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle, \\ |a\beta\rangle_{+} &= \left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) - a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle, \\ |\alpha\beta\rangle_{+} &= \left(a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) - a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$
(3.4)

The representation of the antisymmetric states is

$$|ab\rangle_{-} = -\left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)c_{b}^{\dagger}(2) - c_{b}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle, |a\beta\rangle_{-} = \left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) + a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle, |\alpha\beta\rangle_{-} = \left(a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) + a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle,$$
(3.5)

These two groups, symmetric and antisymmetric, make up two towers. Ordinary symmetry generators of SU(2|3), move one up and down in each tower, while Yangian generators move from one tower to a linear combination in the other.

Commutation of the dilatation operator with the other symmetry generators gives the anomolous dimension of that operator, $[D, J^A] = (\dim J^A)J^A$. This relation holds for the Yangian, $[D, Q^A] = (\dim J^A)Q^A$. Assuming these relations hold to all order of the Yang-Mills coupling, as discussed in [26], we expand the operators, $[D, Q^A] = [D_0 + g_{YM}^2 D_2 + \cdots, Q_0^A + g_{YM}Q_1^A + g_{YM}^2 Q_2 + \cdots]$ and group in powers of the Yang-Mills coupling g,

$$(\dim J^A)(Q_0^A + g_{\rm YM}Q_1^A + g_{\rm YM}^2Q_2^A) + g_{\rm YM}^2[D_2, Q_0^A] \approx (\dim J^A)Q^A.$$
 (3.6)

To find that $[D_2, Q_0^A]$ must be zero. In PSU(2, 2|4), an explicit check of the commutator gives the lattice derivative or 'edge effects' of the system, $[D_2, Q_0^A] = q^A \sim 0$, $q_{1L}^A = J^A(1) - J^A(L)$. Our Yangian in SU(2|3), while not a subalgebra of the Yangian of PSU(2, 2|4), maintains this relation. To see this we introduce the identity,

$$\left[g_{AB}J(1)^{A}J(2)^{B}, q_{12}^{C}\right] = 4Q_{12}^{C}, \qquad (3.7)$$

where Q_{12}^A is the two-site version of the bare generator Q_0^A . So we have $\frac{1}{4} [H_{12}, q_{12}^A] = Q_{12}^A$, and the one-loop calculation becomes

$$\left[H_{12}, Q_{12}^{A}\right] = \frac{1}{4} \left(H_{12}^{2} q_{12}^{A} - 2H_{12} q_{12}^{A} H_{12} + q_{12}^{A} H_{12}^{2}\right).$$
(3.8)

From the previous section, the Yangian acting on a tower moves it to the other tower (i.e. moves a symmetric state to an antisymmetric and vice versa). From eq. (3.7), if the Yangian produces this type of movement so must the edge effect, q^A . Recalling the values under the Hamiltonian of the two-particle states $H_{12}|\Phi_1\Phi_2\rangle_+ = 0$, $H_{12}|\Phi_1\Phi_2\rangle_- = 2|\Phi_1\Phi_2\rangle_-$, we see the middle term vanishes under both symmetric and antisymmetric states. We find that

$$[H_{12}, Q_{12}^A] | \mathbf{\Phi}_1 \mathbf{\Phi}_2 \rangle_{\pm} = q_{12}^A | \mathbf{\Phi}_1 \mathbf{\Phi}_2 \rangle_{\pm},$$
 (3.9)

which is the two-site version of the edge effect described above in the SU(2|3) sector.

4. The deformed hamiltonian

We turn to the twist deformation found in [7]. A second solution to the graded Yang-Baxter equation for the SU(2|3) sector was given

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{1}{u+i} \left(u e^{-iB_{ij}} \mathcal{I}_{ij}^{kl} + i \mathcal{P}_{ij}^{kl} \right).$$
(4.1)

This deformed R-matrix, is the conventional R-matrix solution to the Yang-Baxter equation with additional phases. The identity and projection operators are $\mathcal{I}_{ij}^{kl} = \delta_i^k \delta_j^l$ and $\mathcal{P}_{ij}^{kl} = \delta_i^l \delta_j^k$. The deformed monodromy matrix is defined

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{a;\alpha_{1}...\alpha_{L}}^{b;\beta_{1}...\beta_{L}} = \tilde{R}_{a\alpha_{L}}^{b_{L-1}\beta_{L}} \tilde{R}_{b_{L-1}\alpha_{L-1}}^{b_{L-2}\beta_{L-1}} \cdots \tilde{R}_{b_{2}\alpha_{2}}^{b_{1}\beta_{2}} \tilde{R}_{b_{1}\alpha_{1}}^{a\beta_{1}} \exp\left[i\pi \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} ([\alpha_{i}] + [\beta_{i}])[\alpha_{j}]\right], \quad (4.2)$$

where the Z_2 graded set of states is denoted by the $[\alpha_i]$. Derived from the trace of the monodromy matrix, the deformed transfer matrix is $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(u) = (-)^{[a]} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_a^a(u)$. Like the normal case, we find the deformed Hamiltonian is the logarithmic derivative of the deformed transfer matrix, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = -i \left(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(u^*) \right)^{-1} \frac{d}{du} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}(u) \Big|_{u=u^*}$. This is more closely examined for two-particle states in the following section.

4.1 Deformed two-site hamiltonian

The two-site transfer matrix is $\tilde{T}(u) = \tilde{R}^{b_1\beta_2}_{a\alpha_2}\tilde{R}^{a\beta_1}_{b_1\alpha_1}\exp\left[i\pi([\alpha_2] + [\beta_2])[\alpha_1]\right]$. Using normal procedures for calculating the Hamiltonian, we find the logarithmic derivative of the deformed transfer matrix and expand at $u^* = 0$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \left(\delta_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\delta_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} - \delta_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_2}\delta_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_1}e^{-iB_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}}\right) + \left(\delta_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1}\delta_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_2} - \delta_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_2}\delta_{\alpha_2}^{\beta_1}e^{-iB_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}}\right) = \left(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}^{\beta_1\beta_2}\right) + \left(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}^{\beta_2\beta_1}\right).$$

$$(4.3)$$

Define the deformed two-site Hamiltonians, $\tilde{H}_{12} \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}^{\beta_1\beta_2}$ and $\tilde{H}_{21} \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\alpha_2\alpha_1}^{\beta_2\beta_1}$. Examining closer, we see a phase is obtained under interchange of two fields.

$$\tilde{H}_{12} = \left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)c_{b}^{\dagger}(2) - e^{-iB_{ab}}c_{b}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c^{b}(2)c^{a}(1) \\
+ \left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2) + e^{-iB_{a\alpha}}a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)a^{\alpha}(2)c^{a}(1) \\
+ \left(a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2) + e^{-iB_{\alpha a}}c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c^{a}(2)a^{\alpha}(1) \\
+ \left(a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) + e^{-iB_{\alpha \beta}}a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2)\right)a^{\beta}(2)a^{\alpha}(1).$$
(4.4)

The antisymmetric matrix B_{AB} is a matrix of phases formed from the charges of the Cartan generators of the original R symmetry SU(4) and is described in [7],

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma_3 & +\gamma_2 & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3) & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3) \\ +\gamma_3 & 0 & -\gamma_1 & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_3 - \gamma_1) & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_3 - \gamma_1) \\ -\gamma_2 & +\gamma_1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \\ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_3 - \gamma_2) & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_3) & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_3 - \gamma_2) & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_3) & \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.5)

The deformation parameters γ_i are three real constants. The eigenstates of the deformed Hamiltonian are

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{|ab\rangle}_{\pm} &= -\left(e^{iB_{ab}/2}c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)c_{b}^{\dagger}(2) \pm e^{-iB_{ab}/2}c_{b}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle, \\ \widetilde{|a\beta\rangle}_{\pm} &= \left(e^{iB_{a\beta}/2}c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) \mp e^{-iB_{a\beta}/2}a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle, \\ \widetilde{|\alpha\beta\rangle}_{\pm} &= \left(e^{iB_{\alpha\beta}/2}a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) \mp e^{-iB_{\alpha\beta}/2}a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle. \end{split}$$
(4.6)

As before, they have eigenvalues $\tilde{H}_2|+\rangle = 0|+\rangle$ and $\tilde{H}_2|-\rangle = 2|-\rangle$. Note that special cases of repeated fields will never receive phase corrections.

4.2 Case 1: $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3$

Since we are interested in deformed theories that have $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal symmetry, we first examine the case of phase deformations with all parameters equal, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \gamma$. The resultant nonzero phases are $B_{13} = B_{21} = B_{32} = \gamma$ and give a residual $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)^3$ symmetry. This is the beta deformation of Lunin and Maldacena [2, 7], but restricted to our five field subsector. So the nonzero commutation relations after deformation are just the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ algebra

$$[L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, L^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}] = \delta^{\alpha}_{\delta} L^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} - \delta^{\gamma}_{\beta} L^{\alpha}{}_{\delta}.$$

$$(4.7)$$

The three U(1) generators are $U_1 = \frac{3}{4}c_4^{\dagger}c^4 - \frac{1}{4}c_c^{\dagger}c^c$, $U_2 = c_2^{\dagger}c^2 - c_3^{\dagger}c^3$, and $U_3 = c_2^{\dagger}c^2 - c_1^{\dagger}c^1$. Two-particle eigenstates of the deformed Hamiltonian have a phase on the states with two SU(3) fields and no phase any of the additional states.

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{|ab\rangle}_{\pm} &= -\left(e^{iB_{ab}/2}c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)c_{b}^{\dagger}(2) \pm e^{-iB_{ab}/2}c_{b}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle, \\ \widetilde{|a\beta\rangle}_{\pm} &= \left(c_{a}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) \mp a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)c_{a}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle, \\ \widetilde{|\alpha\beta\rangle}_{\pm} &= \left(a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(2) \mp a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(1)a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(2)\right)c_{4}^{\dagger}(1)c_{4}^{\dagger}(2)|0\rangle. \end{split}$$
(4.8)

If we try to examine the one-loop quantity, $[\tilde{H}, Q_0^A]$, using the Yangian from the undeformed SU(2|3) theory, we would find

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}, Q^A \end{bmatrix} \widetilde{|ab\rangle_{\pm}} = q^A \widetilde{|ab\rangle_{\pm}}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}, Q^A \end{bmatrix} \widetilde{|a\alpha\rangle_{\pm}} = q^A \widetilde{|a\alpha\rangle_{\pm}}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}, Q^A \end{bmatrix} \widetilde{|\alpha\beta\rangle_{\pm}} = q^A \widetilde{|\alpha\beta\rangle_{\pm}}, \tag{4.9}$$

only for $A = \{A | J^A \in SU(2) \times U(1)^3\}.$

4.3 Case 2: $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = -\gamma_3$

Another $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal theory, embedded differently in the original PSU(2,2|4) algebra, is given by $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = -\gamma_3$. The nonzero elements of the antisymmetric matrix are $B_{ab}: B_{12} = B_{13} = -B_{23} = \gamma$ and $B_{a\alpha}: B_{1\alpha} = -B_{2\beta} = \gamma$.² The residual symmetry is $SU(2|1) \times U(1)^2$. This symmetry algebra has a richer structure containing a superalgebra containing $\{L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, Q^{3}{}_{\alpha}, S^{\alpha}{}_{3}, R\}$ and the two U(1)s: $R = a^{\dagger}_{\gamma}a^{\gamma} + 2c^{\dagger}_{c}c^{c}, U_{2} = c^{\dagger}_{2}c^{2} - c^{\dagger}_{1}c^{1}, U_{3} = c^{\dagger}_{4}c^{4} - c^{\dagger}_{2}c^{2}$. The nonzero commutation relations for this form of the embedding are

$$[L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, J_{\gamma}] = \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} J_{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} J_{\gamma}, \quad [L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, J^{\gamma}] = -\delta^{\gamma}_{\beta} J_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} J^{\gamma}, \tag{4.10}$$
$$[R, S^{\alpha}{}_{3}] = S^{\alpha}{}_{3}, \quad [R, Q^{3}{}_{\alpha}] = -Q^{3}{}_{\alpha}, \quad \{S^{\alpha}{}_{3}, Q^{3}{}_{\beta}\} = L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} R.$$

We could again try to compute with the tree level Yangian in the deformed theory, however we would find that unless we use Q^A with $\{A \in SU(2|1) \times U(1)^2\}$ and restrict to eigenstates whose one particle fields lie in the fundamental representation of the residual symmetry, the standard form of the tree level Yangian (2.5) is not useful.

Therefore, we look for the appropriate form of the tree level Yangian from the deformed transfer matrix. In [30], a twisted R-matrix is derived via a Reshetikhin twist [29] leading to a deformed coproduct. Our deformed R-matrix is a supersymmetric version of this, as briefly mentioned in [7]. So we will use a twisted coproduct to compute the tree level Yangian.

5. Twisted coproducts

We identify the deformed R-matrix in eq. (4.1) with a multiparameter form [29, 30]. This requires a twisted coproduct on our generators. For an algebra \mathcal{A} , a coproduct is a homomorphic map $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ which brings a single site representation into a double-site

²For the remainder of this section, $1 \le a, b \le 2, 1 \le \alpha \beta \le 2$.

representation, a double-site into a triple-site, etc [31, 32]. Here, \mathcal{A} is the Yangian of SU(2|3). We forego our single index notation because this coproduct is dependent on the specifics of our generators and is easier to use with double indices.³ The twisted coproduct for the ordinary generators is

$$\Delta R^{a}{}_{b} = K_{ab} \otimes R^{a}{}_{b} + R^{a}{}_{b} \otimes K_{ba},$$

$$\Delta L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = K_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} + L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \otimes K_{\beta\alpha},$$

$$\Delta Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} = K_{c\gamma} \otimes Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} + Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} \otimes K_{\gamma c},$$

$$\Delta S^{\gamma}{}_{c} = K_{\gamma c} \otimes S^{\gamma}{}_{c} + S^{\gamma}{}_{c} \otimes K_{c\gamma},$$

$$\Delta D = 1 \otimes D + D \otimes 1.$$

(5.1)

As before, $1 \leq a, b \leq 3$ and $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2$ and now $1 \leq I, J, K \leq 5$. The twisted coproducts depend on the antisymmetric parameters, $\alpha_{IJ} = -\alpha_{JI}$, which reside in $K_{IJ} = \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\sum_{K=1}^{5} (\alpha_{IK} - \alpha_{JK}) E_{KK}\right]$.⁴ So,

$$K_{ab} = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{a\gamma} - \alpha_{b\gamma})E_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{ac} - \alpha_{bc})E_{cc}} = K_{ba}^{-1},$$

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{\alpha\gamma} - \alpha_{\beta\gamma})E_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{\alpha c} - \alpha_{\beta c})E_{cc}} = K_{\beta\alpha}^{-1},$$

$$K_{a\alpha} = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{a\gamma} - \alpha_{\alpha\gamma})E_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{ac} - \alpha_{\alpha c})E_{cc}} = K_{\alpha a}^{-1}.$$
(5.2)

The quadratic Casimir of the SU(2|3) algebra with this twisted coproduct is

$$g_{AB}\Delta J^A\Delta J^B = \frac{1}{3}\Delta D\Delta D + \frac{1}{2}\Delta L^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}\Delta L^{\delta}{}_{\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta R^c{}_{d}\Delta R^d{}_{c} - \frac{1}{2}\left[\Delta Q^c{}_{\gamma}, \Delta S^{\gamma}{}_{c}\right].$$
(5.3)

When we expand using the above coproducts, it can be shown the phase contributions cancel in the single site components of the Casimir. As argued previously, these components give zero when acting on the states. Just as important, if we examine the cross terms we retrieve the deformed Hamiltonian discussed in the previous section. So, acting on a two-particle state $|\eta\rangle$,

$$g_{AB}\Delta J^A \Delta J^B |\eta\rangle = \tilde{H}_{12} |\eta\rangle, \qquad (5.4)$$

where \tilde{H}_{12} is given by (4.4) if we relate the deformation parameters with those from before, $\alpha_{IJ} = B_{IJ}$. So, the deformed Hamiltonian commutes with all of the ordinary symmetry generators for arbitrary B_{IJ} ,

$$[\tilde{H}_{12}, J_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B}] = 0, (5.5)$$

where we construct $J_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B}$ with the coproduct in (5.1). An example two-site generator is, $R_{12}{}^{a}{}_{b} = R(1){}^{a}{}_{b}K(2)_{ba} + K(1)_{ab}R(2){}^{a}{}_{b}$. With this information we can begin to reconstruct the identities associated with the Yangian structure given in the previous section. To avoid confusion with the supercharge, $Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}$, we shall denote the Yangian generator Q^{A} , in two index notation, as $\hat{J}^{A}{}_{B}$. Coproducts for twisted Yangian generators [30, 33] of SU(2|3) take the form

$$\Delta \hat{R}^a{}_b = K_{ab} \otimes \hat{R}^a{}_b + \hat{R}^a{}_b \otimes K_{ba} + \frac{1}{2}h\left(R^a{}_cK_{cb} \otimes K_{ca}R^c{}_b - K_{ac}R^c{}_b \otimes R^a{}_cK_{bc}\right)$$

³A brief description can be found in appendix B.

⁴Twisted coproducts can be generated from a deforming function, F, such that $\Delta^{(F)} = F \Delta^{(0)} F^{-1}$, where $\Delta^{(0)}$ is the standard coproduct [29, 32]. The standard coproduct corresponds to $K_{IJ} = 1$.

$$+\frac{1}{2}h\left(Q^{a}{}_{\gamma}K_{\gamma b}\otimes K_{\gamma a}S^{\gamma}{}_{b}+K_{a\gamma}S^{\gamma}{}_{b}\otimes Q^{a}{}_{\gamma}K_{b\gamma}\right)$$
$$-\frac{1}{6}h\delta^{a}_{b}\left(Q^{c}{}_{\gamma}K_{\gamma c}\otimes K_{\gamma c}S^{\gamma}{}_{c}+K_{c\gamma}S^{\gamma}{}_{c}\otimes Q^{c}{}_{\gamma}K_{c\gamma}\right),$$
(5.6)

$$\Delta \hat{L}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = K_{\alpha\beta} \otimes \hat{L}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} + \hat{L}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \otimes K_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}h\left(L^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}K_{\gamma\beta} \otimes K_{\gamma\alpha}L^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} - K_{\alpha\gamma}L^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} \otimes L^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}K_{\beta\gamma}\right) + \frac{1}{2}h\left(S^{\alpha}K_{c\beta} \otimes K_{c\alpha}Q^{c}{}_{\beta} + K_{\alpha c}Q^{c}{}_{\beta} \otimes S^{\alpha}{}_{c}K_{\beta c}\right) - \frac{1}{4}h\delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\left(S^{\gamma}{}_{c}K_{c\gamma} \otimes K_{c\gamma}Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} + K_{\gamma c}Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} \otimes S^{\gamma}{}_{c}K_{\gamma c}\right),$$

$$(5.7)$$

$$\Delta \hat{Q}^{a}{}_{\alpha} = K_{a\alpha} \otimes \hat{Q}^{a}{}_{\alpha} + \hat{Q}^{a}{}_{\alpha} \otimes K_{\alpha a} + \frac{1}{2}h\left(Q^{a}{}_{\gamma}K_{\gamma\alpha} \otimes K_{\gamma a}L^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha} - K_{a\gamma}L^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha} \otimes Q^{a}{}_{\gamma}K_{\alpha\gamma}\right) + \frac{1}{2}h\left(R^{a}{}_{c}K_{c\alpha} \otimes K_{ca}Q^{c}{}_{\alpha} - K_{ac}Q^{c}{}_{\alpha} \otimes R^{a}{}_{c}K_{\alpha c}\right),$$
(5.8)

$$\Delta \hat{S}^{\alpha}{}_{a} = K_{\alpha a} \otimes \hat{S}^{\alpha}{}_{a} + \hat{S}^{\alpha}{}_{a} \otimes K_{a\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}h\left(S^{\alpha}{}_{c}K_{ca} \otimes K_{ca}R^{c}{}_{a} - K_{\alpha c}R^{c}{}_{a} \otimes S^{\alpha}{}_{c}K_{ac}\right) + \frac{1}{2}h\left(L^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}K_{\gamma a} \otimes K_{\gamma \alpha}S^{\gamma}{}_{a} - K_{\alpha \gamma}S^{\gamma}{}_{a} \otimes L^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}K_{a\gamma}\right),$$
(5.9)

$$\Delta \hat{D} = 1 \otimes \hat{D} + \hat{D} \otimes 1 + \frac{1}{4} h \left(S^{\gamma}{}_{c} K_{c\gamma} \otimes K_{c\gamma} Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} + K_{\gamma c} Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} \otimes S^{\gamma}{}_{c} K_{\gamma c} \right).$$
(5.10)

These coproducts are coassociative and quasi-cocommutative [34], and satisfy (2.6)-(2.8) in the double index basis. In the derivation of the above coproduct for the deformed SU(2|3) Yangians, we had to respect the even/odd property of the generators and the traceless condition of the even generators. The parameter h is related to α in the Serre relation (2.8).

We can use the twisted identity

$$\left[\tilde{H}_{12}, q_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B}\right] = 8h\hat{J}_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B}, \qquad (5.11)$$

where $q_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B} = J^{A}{}_{B} \otimes K_{BA} - K_{AB} \otimes J^{A}{}_{B}$, and the \hat{J}_{12} are given by the *h* dependent terms in (5.6). For example, the two-site tree level Yangian generator $\hat{Q}^{a}{}_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$\hat{Q}_{12}{}^{a}{}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}h\left(Q(1)^{a}{}_{\gamma}K(1)_{\gamma\alpha}K(2)_{\gamma a}L(2)^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha} - K(1)_{a\gamma}L(1)^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha}Q(2)^{a}{}_{\gamma}K(2)_{\alpha\gamma}\right) \quad (5.12)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}h\left(R(1)^{a}{}_{c}K(1)_{c\alpha}K(2)_{ca}Q(2)^{c}{}_{\alpha} - K(1)_{ac}Q(1)^{c}{}_{\alpha}R(2)^{a}{}_{c}K(2)_{\alpha c}\right).$$

Then on two-sites we can show

$$\left[\tilde{H}_{12}, \hat{J}_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B}\right] = \frac{1}{2}hq_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B}, \qquad (5.13)$$

acting on all the eigenstates.

In order to promote (5.5) and (5.13)–(5.10) to L sites, we construct the L-site representation for $J^A{}_B$ and $\hat{J}^A{}_B$ using twisted coproducts with (5.1) and (5.6). We find

$$\left[\tilde{H}, J^A{}_B\right] = 0, \tag{5.14}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}, \hat{J}^{A}{}_{B} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}_{i,i+1}, \hat{J}_{i,i+1}{}^{A}{}_{B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.15)
$$= \frac{1}{2}h \left(J(1)^{A}{}_{B}K(2)_{BA} \cdots K(L)_{BA} - K(1)_{AB} \cdots K(L-1)_{AB}J(L)^{A}{}_{B} \right)$$

If we examine an infinite length chain, which would resemble the worldsheet of the dual string theory, we can assume that surface terms at infinity can be dropped [26], and in that sense, $[\tilde{H}, \hat{J}^A{}_B] = 0$. Thus, following the discussion in section 3, (5.15) provides a consistency check on the assumption that the SU(2|3) Yangian, with the twisted coproduct, holds to all orders in the Yang-Mills coupling constant.

Up to this point, the analysis in this section holds for arbitrary, antisymmetric α_{IJ} . We now illustrate the use of Yangians in these twisted theories in the two cases we examined earlier, in order to explain the residual symmetries.

Case 1: $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3$. We examine the twisted coproducts of Case 1. Recall, the phase elements have the property $B_{a\alpha} = 0$, $B_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, and the B_{ab} sector contains some non-zero entries. We explicitly write the coproducts,

$$K_{ab} = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{ac} - \alpha_{bc})E_{cc}} = K_{ba}^{-1}, \quad K_{\alpha\beta} = 1 = K_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}, \quad K_{a\alpha} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\alpha_{ac}E_{cc}} = K_{\alpha a}^{-1}.$$
 (5.16)

We examine the symmetry after using the twisted coproducts and find the residual $SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ symmetry corresponds to an undeformed coproduct:

Residual Symmetry

$$\Delta L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = 1 \otimes L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} + L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \otimes 1, \qquad \Delta R^{a}{}_{b} = K_{ab} \otimes R^{a}{}_{b} + R^{a}{}_{b} \otimes K_{ba}, \qquad (5.17)$$

$$\Delta D = 1 \otimes D + D \otimes 1, \qquad \Delta Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} = K_{c\gamma} \otimes Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} + Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} \otimes K_{\gamma c}, \qquad \Delta R^{c}{}_{c} = 1 \otimes R^{c}{}_{c} + R^{c}{}_{c} \otimes 1, \qquad \Delta S^{\gamma}{}_{c} = K_{\gamma c} \otimes S^{\gamma}{}_{c} + S^{\gamma}{}_{c} \otimes K_{c\gamma}.$$

Using these definitions one could check for the two-particle eigenstates, $|\pm\rangle$ listed in a previous section,

$$\left[\tilde{H}_{12}, \hat{J}_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B}\right]\widetilde{|\pm\rangle} = \frac{1}{2}hq_{12}{}^{A}{}_{B}\widetilde{|\pm\rangle}.$$
(5.18)

Case 2: $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = -\gamma_3$. We consider the richer structure of case 2. From previous sections we saw a residual $SU(2|1) \times U(1)^2$ symmetry. Recall, we have zero phase elements in the sectors $\alpha_{\alpha\beta} = \alpha_{3\alpha} = 0$. The other phases, $\alpha_{1\alpha} = -\alpha_{2\alpha}$ and $\alpha_{12} = \alpha_{13} = -\alpha_{23}$. In this section, we label the fields $\Phi_I = \{\phi_a, \phi_3, \psi_\alpha\}$, with the indices $1 \le a, b \le 2$ and $1 \le \alpha, \beta \le 2$. The twisted coproducts, have deformation parameters

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = 1 = K_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}, \quad K_{3\alpha} = 1 = K_{\alpha3}, \quad K_{33} = 1, K_{ab} = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{a\gamma} - \alpha_{b\gamma})E_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{a3} - \alpha_{b3})E_{33} + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{ac} - \alpha_{bc})E_{cc}} = K_{ba}^{-1}, K_{a3} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\alpha_{a\gamma}E_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{i}{2}\alpha_{a3}E_{33} + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{ac} - \alpha_{3c})E_{33}} = K_{3a}^{-1}, K_{a\alpha} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\alpha_{a\gamma}E_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{a3} - \alpha_{\alpha3})E_{33} + \frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{ac} - \alpha_{\alpha c})E_{cc}} = K_{\alpha a}^{-1}.$$
(5.19)

We apply these parameters and find the residual $SU(2|1) \times U(1)^2$ symmetry:

Residual Symmetry	Residual Symmetry	
$\Delta L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = 1 \otimes L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} + L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \otimes 1,$	$\Delta R^a{}_b = K_{ab} \otimes R^a{}_b + R^a{}_b \otimes K_{ba},$	
$\Delta Q^3{}_{\gamma} = 1 \otimes Q^3{}_{\gamma} + Q^3{}_{\gamma} \otimes 1,$	$\Delta Q^c{}_{\gamma} = K_{c\gamma} \otimes Q^c{}_{\gamma} + Q^c{}_{\gamma} \otimes K_{\gamma c},$	(5.20)
$\Delta S^{\gamma}{}_3 = 1 \otimes S^{\gamma}{}_3 + S^{\gamma}{}_3 \otimes 1,$	$\Delta S^{\gamma}{}_{c} = K_{\gamma c} \otimes S^{\gamma}{}_{c} + S^{\gamma}{}_{c} \otimes K_{c\gamma}.$	(0.20)
$\Delta D = 1 \otimes D + D \otimes 1,$		
$\Delta R^c{}_c = 1 \otimes R^c{}_c + R^c{}_c \otimes 1,$		

Again, one could directly compute, using the two-particle eigenstates in a previous section, to find $\left[\tilde{H}_2, \hat{J}^A{}_B\right] \widetilde{|\pm\rangle} = q_{12}{}^A{}_B\widetilde{|\pm\rangle}$. In both cases, since the coproducts for the remaining symmetries are non-standard and contain deformation parameters, these signal broken symmetries in the corresponding deformed gauge field theories.

6. Conclusion

We identified the Yangian structure for SU(2|3). Like the parent case of PSU(2,2|4), we could check that the Yangian commutation relations hold to one-loop. Using the twisted R-matrix of the Yang-Baxter equation, supplied by Beisert and Roiban we computed the twisted coproducts using Reshetikhins formalism. This twisted coproduct left a residual symmetry.

We derived that the useful identities found in the undeformed theory all have a twisted analog. We explicitly calculated the twisted quadratic Casimir and showed, acting on twoparticle states, the twisted Casimir is equivalent to the deformed Hamiltonian of the theory.

We go on to show the residual symmetries found above have a null phase, $K_{AB} = 1$, corresponding to the standard, undeformed coproduct. All remaining symmetries have phases associated with their coproducts. So, in general to find a certain residual symmetry, one could start by assuming untwisted coproducts for the desired generators.

For chains of larger length, the twisted coproducts give a formalism that will maintain its 'edge' effects and therefore can be used to check the Yangian symmetry extrapolated to one-loop. Although higher loops in the SU(2|3) sector have dynamical lengths, our argument suggest this structure might survive to all orders, and therefore we expect to find also the SU(2|3) Yangian symmetry with twisted coproducts in the worldsheet of the dual string theory.

Although the full SU(2|3) Yangian algebra is still present in the deformed theories, and is responsible for its integrability, the symmetries of the deformed field theories are the residual groups, due to the twisted coproducts. These subgroups $SU(2) \times U(1)^3$ in Case 1, and $SU(2|1) \times U(1)^2$ in Case 2 correspond to the unbroken subgroups of $SU(2, 2|1) \times U(1)^2$ in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superconformal deformed gauge field theory, that survive in its SU(2|3) sector we consider in this paper.

The twisted coproduct has provided a mechanism for maintaining integrability in a theory while breaking some of its initial symmetry. This procedure might be useful in formulating integrable versions of even smaller symmetries, possibly $\mathcal{N} = 0$ Yang-Mills.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Louise Dolan for her many conversations. This work was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Grant No. DE-FG01-06ER06-01, Task A.

A. Single index basis

It is sometimes convenient to chose a single index basis for the twenty-four generators of SU(2|3). The twelve even generators have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{SU(3)} & \mathbf{SU(2)} & \mathbf{U(1)} \\ &J^1 = R^{1}{}_2 + R^{2}{}_1 & J^9 = L^{1}{}_2 + L^{2}{}_1 & J^{12} = D \\ &J^2 = i(R^{1}{}_2 - R^{2}{}_1) & J^{10} = i(L^{1}{}_2 - L^{2}{}_1) \\ &J^3 = R^{1}{}_1 - R^{2}{}_2 & J^{11} = L^{1}{}_1 - L^{2}{}_2 \\ &J^4 = R^{1}{}_3 + R^{3}{}_1 & (A.1) \\ &J^5 = i(R^{1}{}_3 - R^{3}{}_1) \\ &J^6 = R^{2}{}_3 + R^{3}{}_2 \\ &J^7 = i(R^{2}{}_3 - R^{3}{}_2) \\ &J^8 = R^{1}{}_1 + R^{2}{}_2 - 2R^{3}{}_3 \end{aligned}$$

The twelve odd generators have the representation

$$\begin{split} J^{13} &= S^1{}_1 + Q^1{}_1 & J^{19} = S^2{}_1 + Q^1{}_2 \\ J^{14} &= i(S^1{}_1 - Q^1{}_1) & J^{20} = i(S^2{}_1 + Q^1{}_2) \\ J^{15} &= S^1{}_2 + Q^2{}_1 & J^{21} = S^2{}_2 + Q^2{}_2 \\ J^{16} &= i(S^1{}_2 - Q^2{}_1) & J^{22} = i(S^2{}_2 + Q^2{}_2) \\ J^{17} &= S^1{}_3 + Q^3{}_1 & J^{23} = S^2{}_3 + Q^3{}_2 \\ J^{18} &= i(S^1{}_3 - Q^3{}_1) & J^{24} = i(S^2{}_3 + Q^3{}_2). \end{split}$$
 (A.2)

The metric is symmetric (and diagonal) in the even regions and antisymmetric in the odd regions.

$$g_{(1)(1)} = g_{(2)(2)} = g_{(3)(3)} = g_{(4)(4)} = g_{(5)(5)} = g_{(6)(6)} = g_{(7)(7)} = g_{(8)(8)} = -\frac{1}{4},$$
(A.3)
$$g_{(9)(9)} = g_{(10)(10)} = g_{(11)(11)} = \frac{1}{4},$$
$$-g_{(13)(14)} = g_{(14)(13)} = -g_{(15)(16)} = g_{(16)(15)} = \dots = g_{(22)(21)} = -g_{(23)(24)} = g_{(24)(23)} = \frac{1}{4i}.$$

The SU(2|3) algebra obeys the commutation $[J^A, J^B] = f^{ABC}g_{CD}J^D$. The structure constants are totally antisymmetric with an additional minus sign under the interchange of two odd indices.

$$f^{(1)(2)(3)} = -f^{(9)(10)(11)} = -8i$$

$$f^{(1)(4)(7)} = f^{(1)(6)(5)} = f^{(2)(4)(7)} = f^{(2)(5)(7)} = f^{(3)(4)(5)} = f^{(3)(7)(6)} = -4i$$

$$f^{(4)(5)(8)} = f^{(6)(7)(8)} = -12i$$
(A.4)

```
f^{(1)(13)(15)} = f^{(1)(14)(16)} = f^{(1)(19)(21)} = f^{(1)(20)(22)} = -4
 f^{(2)(13)(16)} = -f^{(2)(14)(15)} = f^{(2)(19)(22)} = -f^{(2)(20)(21)} = -4
 f^{(3)(13)(13)} = f^{(3)(14)(14)} = -f^{(3)(15)(15)} = -f^{(3)(16)(16)} = -4
 f^{(3)(19)(19)} = f^{(3)(20)(20)} = -f^{(3)(21)(21)} = -f^{(3)(22)(22)} = -4
 f^{(4)(13)(17)} = f^{(4)(14)(18)} = f^{(4)(19)(23)} = f^{(4)(20)(24)} = -4
 f^{(5)(13)(18)} = f^{(5)(14)(17)} = f^{(5)(19)(24)} = f^{(5)(20)(23)} = -4
 f^{(6)(15)(17)} = f^{(6)(16)(18)} = f^{(6)(21)(23)} = f^{(6)(22)(24)} = -4
 f^{(7)(15)(18)} = -f^{(7)(16)(17)} = f^{(7)(21)(24)} = -f^{(7)(22)(23)} = -4
 f^{(8)(13)(13)} = f^{(8)(14)(14)} = f^{(8)(15)(15)} = f^{(8)(16)(16)} = -4
 f^{(8)(19)(19)} = f^{(8)(20)(20)} = f^{(8)(21)(21)} = f^{(8)(22)(22)} = -4
 f^{(8)(17)(17)} = f^{(8)(18)(18)} = f^{(8)(23)(23)} = f^{(8)(24)(24)} = 8
 f^{(9)(13)(19)} = f^{(9)(14)(20)} = f^{(9)(15)(21)} = f^{(9)(16)(22)} = f^{(9)(17)(23)} = f^{(9)(18)(24)} = 4
f^{(10)(13)(20)} = -f^{(10)(14)(19)} = f^{(10)(15)(22)} = -f^{(10)(16)(21)} = f^{(10)(17)(24)} = -f^{(10)(18)(23)} = -4
f^{(11)(13)(13)} = f^{(11)(14)(14)} = f^{(11)(15)(15)} = f^{(11)(16)(16)} = f^{(11)(17)(17)} = f^{(11)(18)(18)} = 4
f^{(11)(19)(19)} = f^{(11)(20)(20)} = f^{(11)(21)(21)} = f^{(11)(22)(22)} = f^{(11)(23)(23)} = f^{(11)(24)(24)} = -4
f^{(12)(13)(13)} = f^{(12)(14)(14)} = f^{(12)(15)(15)} = f^{(12)(16)(16)} = f^{(12)(17)(17)} = f^{(12)(18)(18)} = 2
f^{(12)(19)(19)} = f^{(12)(20)(20)} = f^{(12)(21)(21)} = f^{(12)(22)(22)} = f^{(12)(23)(23)} = f^{(12)(24)(24)} = 2.
```

B. Double index basis

The generators can be written in a double index notation [33, 30]. For a general superalgebra, we can define matrices $(E_{AB})_{ij} = \delta_{Ai}\delta_{Bj}$ which satisfy $[E_{AB}, E_{CD}] = \delta_{CB}E_{AD} - \delta_{AD}E_{CB}$. For SU(2|3),

$$\begin{split} & [R^{a}{}_{b}, R^{c}{}_{d}] = \delta^{a}_{d}R^{c}{}_{b} - \delta^{c}_{b}R^{a}{}_{d}, \\ & [R^{a}{}_{b}, Q^{c}{}_{\gamma}] = -\delta^{c}_{b}Q^{a}{}_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^{a}_{b}Q^{c}{}_{\gamma}, \\ & [R^{a}{}_{b}, S^{\gamma}{}_{c}] = \delta^{a}_{c}S^{\gamma}{}_{b} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^{a}_{b}S^{\gamma}{}_{c}, \\ & [L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, L^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}] = \delta^{\alpha}_{\delta}L^{\gamma}{}_{\beta} - \delta^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}L^{\alpha}{}_{\delta}, \\ & [L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, Q^{c}{}_{\gamma}] = \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}Q^{c}{}_{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}Q^{c}{}_{\gamma}, \\ & [L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}, S^{\gamma}{}_{c}] = -\delta^{\gamma}_{\beta}S^{\alpha}{}_{c} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}S^{\gamma}{}_{c}, \\ & \left\{Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}, S^{\beta}{}_{b}\right\} = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}R^{a}{}_{b} + \delta^{a}_{b}L^{\beta}{}_{b} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^{a}_{b}\delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}D, \\ & [D, Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}] = +\frac{1}{2}Q^{a}{}_{\alpha}, \end{split}$$
(B.1)

$$[D, S^{\alpha}{}_{a}] = -\frac{1}{2}S^{\alpha}_{a}. \tag{B.3}$$

We transform between our SU(2|3) generators and the general matrices defined above by

$$R^{a}{}_{b} = E_{ba} - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{a}_{b} E_{cc}, \qquad L^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} = E_{\beta\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} E_{\gamma\gamma}, \qquad (B.4)$$

$$S^{\gamma}{}_{c} = E_{c\gamma}, \quad Q^{c}{}_{\gamma} = E_{\gamma c}, \quad D = E_{cc} + \frac{3}{2}E_{\gamma\gamma},$$
(B.5)

where repeated indices are summed over.

References

- [1] R.G. Leigh and M.J. Strassler, Exactly marginal operators and duality in four-dimensional N = 1 supersymmetric gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B 447 (1995) 95 [hep-th/9503121].
- [2] O. Lunin and J.M. Maldacena, Deforming field theories with $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry and their gravity duals, JHEP 05 (2005) 033 [hep-th/0502086].
- [3] S. Frolov, Lax pair for strings in Lunin-Maldacena background, JHEP 05 (2005) 069 [hep-th/0503201].
- [4] R. Roiban, On spin chains and field theories, JHEP 09 (2004) 023 [hep-th/0312218].
- [5] S.A. Frolov, R. Roiban and A.A. Tseytlin, Gauge-string duality for (non)supersymmetric deformations of N = 4 super Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. B 731 (2005) 1 [hep-th/0507021].
- [6] D. Berenstein and S.A. Cherkis, Deformations of N = 4 SYM and integrable spin chain models, Nucl. Phys. B 702 (2004) 49 [hep-th/0405215].
- [7] N. Beisert and R. Roiban, Beauty and the twist: the Bethe ansatz for twisted N = 4 SYM, JHEP 08 (2005) 039 [hep-th/0505187].
- [8] V.V. Khoze, Amplitudes in the beta-deformed conformal Yang-Mills, JHEP 02 (2006) 040 [hep-th/0512194].
- C. Durnford, G. Georgiou and V.V. Khoze, Instanton test of non-supersymmetric deformations of the AdS₅ × S⁵, JHEP 09 (2006) 005 [hep-th/0606111].
- [10] S. Penati, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, Two-point correlators in the beta-deformed N = 4SYM at the next-to-leading order, JHEP **10** (2005) 023 [hep-th/0506150].
- [11] A. Mauri, S. Penati, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, Exact results in planar N = 1 superconformal Yang-Mills theory, JHEP 11 (2005) 024 [hep-th/0507282].
- [12] A. Mauri, S. Penati, M. Pirrone, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, On the perturbative chiral ring for marginally deformed N = 4 SYM theories, JHEP 08 (2006) 072 [hep-th/0605145].
- [13] F. Elmetti, A. Mauri, S. Penati and A. Santambrogio, Conformal invariance of the planar beta-deformed N = 4 SYM theory requires beta real, JHEP 01 (2007) 026 [hep-th/0606125].
- [14] F. Elmetti, A. Mauri, S. Penati, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, Real versus complex β -deformation of the N = 4 planar super Yang-Mills theory, JHEP **10** (2007) 102 [arXiv:0705.1483].
- [15] F. Elmetti, A. Mauri and M. Pirrone, Conformal invariance and finiteness theorems for non-planar beta-deformed N = 4 SYM theory, arXiv:0710.4864.

- [16] D.I. Kazakov and L.V. Bork, Conformal invariance = finiteness and beta deformed N = 4 SYM theory, JHEP 08 (2007) 071 [arXiv:0706.4245].
- [17] D. Bundzik and T. Mansson, The general Leigh-Strassler deformation and integrability, JHEP 01 (2006) 116 [hep-th/0512093].
- [18] T. Mansson, The Leigh-Strassler deformation and the quest for integrability, JHEP 06 (2007) 010 [hep-th/0703150].
- [19] A. Agarwal, Open spin chains in super Yang-Mills at higher loops: some potential problems with integrability, JHEP 08 (2006) 027 [hep-th/0603067].
- [20] A. Agarwal, Aspects of integrability in N = 4 SYM, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22 (2007) 2549 [arXiv:0708.2747].
- [21] N. Beisert and D. Erkal, Yangian symmetry of Long-Range GL(N) integrable spin chains, J. Stat. Mech. (2008) P03030 [arXiv:0711.4813].
- [22] N. Beisert, The complete one-loop dilatation operator of N = 4 super Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. B 676 (2004) 3 [hep-th/0307015].
- [23] N. Beisert, The SU(2|3) dynamic spin chain, Nucl. Phys. B 682 (2004) 487
 [hep-th/0310252].
- [24] B.I. Zwiebel, Yangian symmetry at two-loops for the SU(2|1) sector of N = 4 SYM, J. Phys. A 40 (2007) 1141 [hep-th/0610283].
- [25] N. Beisert and B.I. Zwiebel, On symmetry enhancement in the PSU(1,1|2) sector of N = 4SYM, JHEP 10 (2007) 031 [arXiv:0707.1031].
- [26] L. Dolan, C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, A relation between approaches to integrability in superconformal Yang-Mills theory, JHEP 10 (2003) 017 [hep-th/0308089].
- [27] L. Dolan, C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, Yangian symmetry in D = 4 superconformal Yang-Mills theory, in 3rd international symposium on quantum theory and symmetries (QTS3), Cincinnati, Ohio U.S.A., 10–14 Sep (2003), published in Cincinnati 2003, Quantum Theory and Symmetries, hep-th/0401243.
- [28] L Dolan and C.R. Nappi, Spin models and superconformal Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. B 717 (2005) 36 [hep-th/0411020].
- [29] N. Reshetikhin, Multiparameter quantum groups and twisted quasitriangular Hopf algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. 20 (1990) 331.
- [30] B. Basu-Mallick and P. Ramadevi, Construction of yangian algebra through a multideformation parameter dependent rational R matrix, Phys. Lett. A 211 (1996) 339 [hep-th/9409178].
- [31] V.G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, J. Sov. Math. 41 (1988) 898 [Zap. Nauchn. Semin. 155 (1986) 18].
- [32] V.G. Drinfeld, Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, Sov. Math. Dokl. 32 (1985) 254 [Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. 283 (1985) 1060].
- [33] D. Bernard, An introduction to yangian symmetries, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 7 (1993) 3517 [hep-th/9211133].
- [34] N.J. MacKay, Introduction to yangian symmetry in integrable field theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 20 (2005) 7189 [hep-th/0409183].